LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT A. STUTMAN	ч, Р.С.	
By: Michael J. Hopkins, Esquire		18018
Attorney I.D. No. 88080		Fifed and
Email: hopkinsm@stutmanlaw.com		E PROTEIN
501 Office Center Drive, Suite 300		
Ft. Washington, PA 19034		Counsel for Plaintiff
(215) 283-1177		Wade Wessner
Fax: 215- 283-1188		
Wade Wessner)	
30 Wessner Lane)	19
Auburn, Pennsylvania 17922) C	OURT OF COMMON PLEAS
Plaintiff) P	HILADELPHIA COUNTY
v.)	
Irwin Industrial Tool Company) J(JNE TERM, 2010
8935 Northpoint)	
Executive Drive) N	O.
Huntersville, NC 28078)	
and) C	IVIL ACTION – LAW
BernzoMatic)	
1 Bernzomatic Drive)][JRY TRIAL DEMANDED
Medina, New York 14103)	
and)	
Worthington Industries, Inc.)	
200 Old Wilson Bridge Road)	
Columbus, OH 43085)	
and)	
Worthington Cylinders)	
200 Old Wilson Bridge Road)	5
Columbus, OH 43085)	
)	

COMPLAINT

Parties

- Plaintiff, Wade Wessner, is an adult individual residing at 30 Wessner Lane,
 Auburn, Pennsylvania, 17922.
- Defendant, Irwin Industrial Tool Company, ("Irwin") is a Delaware Corporation
 with its principal place of business located at 8935 Northpoint Executive Drive, Huntersville, NC
 28078, and at all times relevant herein designed, assembled, sold, manufactured, supplied and/or

distributed the torch and MAPP gas cylinder involved in Plaintiff's accident and has engaged in substantial, continuous, regular and systematic business in the City and County of Philadelphia, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

- 3. It is believed that Irwin is the parent corporation of Defendant, BernzoMatic.
- 4. Defendant, Bernzomatic, is a New York corporation with its principal place of business located at 1 Bernzomatic Drive, Medina, NY 14103, and at all times relevant herein, designed, assembled, sold, manufactured, supplied and/or distributed the torch and MAPP gas cylinder involved in Plaintiff's accident, and has engaged in substantial, continuous, regular and systematic business in the City and County of Philadelphia, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 5. Defendant, Worthington Industries, Inc., is a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Ohio with its principal place of business located at 200 Old Wilson Bridge Road, Columbus, OH 43085 and at all times relevant herein designed, assembled, sold, manufactured, supplied or delivered the MAPP gas cylinder involved in Plaintiff's accident and has engaged in substantial, continuous, regular and systematic business in the City and County of Philadelphia, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- It is believed that Worthington Industries, Inc. is the parent corporation of Defendant, Worthington Cylinders.
- 7. Defendant, Worthington Cylinders, is an Ohio Corporation with its principal place of business located at 200 Old Wilson Bridge Road, Columbus, OH 43085 and at all times relevant herein designed, assembled, sold, manufactured, supplied or delivered the MAPP gas cylinder involved in Plaintiff's accident and has engaged in substantial and continuous, regular and systematic business in the City and County of Philadelphia, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Factual Background

- 8. Prior to October 6, 2008, Plaintiff's employer, Remco, Inc., purchased the torch and MAPP gas cylinder involved in the Plaintiff's accident for use by its employees including the plaintiff.
- On or about October 6, 2008, Plaintiff was utilizing the torch and/or MAPP gas cylinder in connection with his job duties.
- The torch that plaintiff was using on the date of his burn injuries was a
 Bernzomatic TS4000 (hereinafter referred to as "torch").
- 11. The gas cylinder attached to the torch was a 16 ounce Bernzomatic MAPP gas cylinder bearing the imprint W12D18E on the bottom of the cylinder (hereinafter referred to as "cylinder").
- Worthington Industries and Worthington Cylinders (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Worthington") manufactured the cylinder for sale under the Benrzomatic name.
- 13. The Plaintiff was using the torch and cylinder while performing repairs to a deli slicer at the Shop-Rite located at 6301 Oxford Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 14. On October 6, 2008, while the Plaintiff was performing his job duties at the location described above, the torch and cylinder fell and ruptured causing significant and permanent burn injuries to the plaintiff.

COUNTI

PLAINTIFF v. IRWIN INDUSTRIAL TOOL COMPANY AND BERNZOMATIC STRICT PRODUCT LIABILITY

- 15. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint the same as if set forth hereinafter.
- 16. Plaintiff avers that Defendants, Irwin and Bernzomatic, by and through their agents, servants, workers, contractors, designers, assemblers, manufacturers, sellers, suppliers and distributors are strictly liable under §402A of the Restatement of the Law of Torts (2d) because:
 - Defendants are engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing, assembling, distributing, selling and/or supplying torches and gas cylinders;
 - The torch and gas cylinder involved in Plaintiff's accident was marketed and placed into the general stream commerce by the Defendants;
 - c. Said torch and cylinder was expected to, and did reach users, including the Plaintiff, without substantial change in the condition in which it was designed, manufactured, assembled, distributed, and/or sold; and
 - d. Said torch and gas cylinder was designed, manufactured, assembled, distributed and/or sold in a defective condition for the reasons set forth in the paragraph below.
- 17. Plaintiff avers that the Defendants, Irwin and Bernzomatic, by and through their agents, servants, workers, contractors, designers, assemblers, manufacturers, sellers, suppliers and distributors are strictly liable under §402A of the Restatement of the Law of Torts (2d) by:

- Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a product in a defective condition;
- Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a product that was unreasonably dangerous to its intended and foreseeable
 users;
- Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a product that was not safe for all of its intended and represented purposes;
- d. Failing to have adequate warnings on the product;
- e. Failing to provide adequate warnings to the ultimate users of the product;
- f. Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a product that lack all necessary safety features to protect users of said
 product;
- g. Failing to either know of prior accidents and injuries with the product and/or failing to correct and prevent the same accidents and injuries from recurring;
- Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a product with parts/components that could cause serious injuries;
- Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a product that could accommodate components that were unsafe;
- Failing to adequately and properly test the product after its design and/or assembly;
- k. Failing to investigate, retain, and analyze prior accident information;

- Failing to insure that ultimate users were advised of the dangers of said product and knew how to use the product safely to avoid injuries;
- m. Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a torch and cylinder that could rupture during their intended use;
- n. Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a torch and cylinder which was not "drop safe";
- Failing to properly instruct the users of the product that it was not "drop safe";
- p. Failing to identify defects in the cylinder after it was manufactured;
- q. Designing, manufacturing, assembling, selling a cylinder with a neck that was capable of fracturing before the fracture grove of the torch could function as designed;
- Failing to manufacture the cylinder in accordance with the design specifications;
- s. Failing to ensure that the different parts of the cylinder were properly fused together;
- Designing, manufacturing, assembling and selling a cylinder that failed to properly incorporate the neck and valve assembly; and
- u. Heat treating the product to the point where it became brittle;
- v. Failing to properly braze the cylinder and its component parts; and
- w. By conducting themselves as aforesaid, the Defendants' actions and/or inactions were substantial factors and increased the risk of harm to the Plaintiff.

Torts(2d) of Defendants, Irwin and Bernzomatic, by and through their agents, servants, workman, contractors, suppliers, distributors and/or employees as aforesaid, Plaintiff, Wade Wessner, was caused to sustain severe and traumatic personally injuries including second and third degree burns; he suffered infections of his burn sites; he endured inpatient hospitalization from October 8, 2008 until October 12, 2008; he underwent surgical skin grafting; he endured multiple excruciating skin debridement to remove dead skin; he incurred medical expenses in excess of \$82,000.00; he suffered scarring and disfigurement; he has in the past required and may in the future continue to require medicines, medical aide, medical care, medical treatment and rehabilitations; he has in the past suffered and may in the future continue to suffer agonizing aches, pains, suffering and mental anguish; he has in the past and may in future continue to be prevented from performing his usual duties, occupation and avocations, all to his great loss of detriment; he has sustained a loss of earnings and a loss future earning capacity; and he has suffered embarrassment and humiliation as a result of the permanent scarring on his body.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Wade Wessner, demands that judgment be entered against Defendants, Irwin and Bernzomatic, in a sum in excess of \$75,000.00 in damages, exclusive of interests, costs, damages pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 238 and attorney's fees and brings this action to recover the same.

COUNT II

WADE WESSNER v. IRWIN AND BERNZOMATIC

NEGLIGENCE

- 19. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint the same as if fully set forth hereinafter.
- 20. Plaintiff avers that the Defendants, Irwin and Bernzomatic, by and through their separate and respective agents, servants, workers, contractors, designers, assemblers, manufacturers, sellers, suppliers and distributors were careless, negligent and gross negligent by:
 - Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a product in a defective condition;
 - Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing a product that was unreasonably dangerous to its intended and foreseeable users;
 - Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a product that was not safe for all of its intended and represented purposes;
 - d. Failing to have adequate warnings on the product;
 - e. Failing to provide adequate warnings to the ultimate users of the product;
 - f. Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a product that lack all necessary safety features to protect users of said
 product;
 - g. Failing to either know of prior accidents and injuries with the product and/or failing to correct and prevent the same accidents and injuries from recurring;

- Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a product with parts/components that could cause serious injuries;
- Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a product that could accommodate components that were unsafe;
- Failing to adequately and properly test the product after its design and/or assembly;
- k. Failing to investigate, retain, and analyze prior accident information;
- Failing to insure that ultimate users were advised of the dangers of said product and knew how to use the product safely to avoid injuries;
- Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a torch and cylinder gas tank that could rupture during its intended use;
- Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a torch and cylinder gas tank which was not "drop safe";
- Failing to properly instruct the users of the product that it was not "drop safe":
- Failing to identify defects in the cylinder after it was manufactured;
- q. Designing, manufacturing, assembling, selling a cylinder with a neck that was capable of fracturing before the fracture grove of the torch could function as designed;
- Failing to manufacture the cylinder in accordance with the design specifications;
- Failing to ensure that the different parts of the cylinder were properly fused together;

- Designing, manufacturing, assembling and selling a cylinder that failed to properly incorporate the neck and valve assembly;
- u. Heat treating the cylinder to the point where the metal became brittle;
- v. Failing to properly braze the cylinder and its component parts; and
- w. By conducting themselves as aforesaid, the Defendants' actions and/or inactions were substantial factors and increased the risk of harm to the Plaintiff.
- 21. By reason of the carelessness and negligence of Defendants, Irwin and Bernzomatic, as aforesaid, Plaintiff, Wade Wessner, was caused to suffer the serious and permanent personal injuries more fully set forth above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Wade Wessner, demands that judgment be entered against Defendants, Irwin and Bernzomatic, in a sum in excess of \$75,000.00 in damages, exclusive of interests, costs, damages pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 238 and attorney's fees and brings this action to recover the same.

COUNT III

WADE WESSNER v. WORTHINGTON AND WORTHINGTON STRICT LIABILITY

- 22. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint the same as if fully set forth hereinafter.
- 23. Plaintiff avers that Defendants, Worthington, by and through their separate and respective agents, servants, workers, contractors, designers, assemblers, manufacturers, sellers, suppliers and distributors are strictly liable under §402A of the Restatement of the Law of Torts (2d) because:

- Defendants are engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing,
 assembling, distributing, selling and/or supplying gas
 cylinders;
- The gas cylinder involved in Plaintiff's accident was marketed
 and placed into the general stream commerce by the Defendants;
- c. The gas cylinder was expected to, and did reach users, including the Plaintiff, without substantial change in the condition in which it was designed, manufactured, assembled, distributed, and/or sold; and
- d. Said gas cylinder was designed, manufactured, assembled,
 distributed and/or sold in a defective condition for the reasons set forth in the paragraph below.
- 24. Plaintiff avers that the Defendants, Worthington, by and through their separate and respective agents, servants, workers, contractors, designers, assemblers, manufacturers, sellers, suppliers and distributors are strictly liable under §402A of the Restatement of the Law of Torts (2d) by:
 - Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a product in a defective condition;
 - Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing a product that was unreasonably dangerous to its intended and foreseeable users;
 - Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a product that was not safe for all of its intended and represented purposes;
 - d. Failing to have adequate warnings on the product;

- e. Failing to provide adequate warnings to the ultimate users of the product;
- f. Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing a product that lack all necessary safety features to protect users of said product;
- g. Failing to either know of prior accidents and injuries with the product and/or failing to correct and prevent the same accidents and injuries from recurring;
- Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a product with parts/components that could cause serious injuries;
- Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a product that could accommodate components that were unsafe;
- Failing to adequately and properly test the product after its design and/or assembly;
- k. Failing to investigate, retain, and analyze prior accident information;
- Failing to insure that ultimate users were advised of the dangers of said product and knew how to use the product safely to avoid injuries;
- m. Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a gas cylinder that could rupture during their intended use;
- Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a gas cylinder which was not "drop safe";
- Failing to properly instruct the users of the product that it was not "drop safe":
- p. Failing to identify defects in the cylinder after it was manufactured;

- q. Designing, manufacturing, assembling, selling a cylinder with a neck that was capable of fracturing before the fracture grove of the torch could function as designed;
- Failing to manufacture the cylinder in accordance with the design specifications;
- s. Failing to ensure that the different parts of the cylinder were properly fused together;
- Designing, manufacturing, assembling and selling a cylinder that failed to properly incorporate the neck and valve assembly;
- u. Heat treating the product to the point where it became brittle; and
- v. Failing to properly braze the cylinder and its component parts together.
- 25. By reason of the breach of the duties of §402A of the Restatement of the Law of Torts(2d) of Defendants, Worthington, by and through their separate and respective agents, servants, workman, contractors, suppliers, distributors and/or employees as aforesaid, suffered the serious and permanent personal injuries more fully set forth above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Wade Wessner, prays that judgment be entered against Defendants, Worthington, in a sum in excess of \$75,000.00 in damages, exclusive of interests, costs, damages pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 238 and attorney's fees and brings this action to recover the same.

COUNT IV

WESSNER V. WORTHINGTON AND WORTHINGTON

NEGLIGENCE

- 26. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint the same as if fully set forth hereinafter.
- 27. Plaintiff avers that the Defendants, Worthington, by and through their separate and respective agents, servants, workers, contractors, designers, assemblers, manufacturers, sellers, suppliers and distributors were careless and negligent:
 - Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a product in a defective condition;
 - Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing a product that was unreasonably dangerous to its intended and foreseeable users;
 - Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a product that was not safe for all of its intended and represented purposes;
 - d. Failing to have adequate warnings on the product;
 - e. Failing to provide adequate warnings to the ultimate users of the product;
 - f. Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a product that lack all necessary safety features to protect users of said
 product;
 - g. Failing to either know of prior accidents and injuries with the product and/or failing to correct and prevent the same accidents and injuries from recurring;

- Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a product with parts/components that could cause serious injuries;
- Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a product that could accommodate components that were unsafe;
- Failing to adequately and properly test the product after its design and/or assembly;
- k. Failing to investigate, retain, and analyze prior accident information;
- Failing to insure that ultimate users were advised of the dangers of said product and knew how to use the product safely to avoid injuries;
- Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a gas cylinder that could rupture during their intended use;
- Designing, assembling, manufacturing, selling, supplying and distributing
 a gas cylinder which was not "drop safe";
- Failing to properly instruct the users of the product that it was not "drop safe";
- p. Failing to identify defects in the cylinder after it was manufactured;
- q. Designing, manufacturing, assembling, selling a cylinder with a neck that was capable of fracturing before the fracture grove of the torch could function as designed;
- Failing to manufacture the cylinder in accordance with the design specifications;
- s. Failing to ensure that the different parts of the cylinder were properly fused together;

- Designing, manufacturing, assembling and selling a cylinder that failed to properly incorporate the neck and valve assembly;
- u. Heat treating the product to the point where it became brittle; and
- v. Failing to properly braze the cylinder and its components.
- 28. By reason of the carelessness and negligence of Defendants, Worthingon, as aforesaid, Plaintiff, Wade Wessner, suffered the serious and permanent personal injuries more fully set forth above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Wade Wessner, prays that judgment be entered against Defendants, Irwin and Bernzomatic, in a sum in excess of \$75,000.00 in damages, exclusive of interests, costs, damages pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 238 and attorney's fees and brings this action to recover the same.

LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT A. TUTMAN, P.C.

By

MICHAEL HOPKINS Attorney I.D. No. 88080

Counsel for Plaintiff
501 Office Center Drive, Suite 300

Ft. Washington, PA 19034

Phone: (215) 283-1177 Fax: (215) 283-1188

Email: hopkinsm@stutmanlaw.com

DATED: 6/17/10

EXHIBIT "B"

GIBBONS P.C.

Madeline M. Sherry, Esquire and Stephen J. Finley, Esquire

Attorney I.D. Nos. 31549 and 200890

1700 Two Logan Square

18th and Arch Streets

Philadelphia, PA 19103

(215) 446-6201 and (215) 446-6265

msherry@gibbonslaw.com

sfinley@gibbonslaw.com

PROTHONOTARY
12 JUL 2010 12:26 pm

Attorneys for Defendant. MARTIN Bernzomatic, an unincomorated division of Irwin Industrial Tool

Company

WADE WESSNER,

Plaintiff

V.

IRWIN INDUSTRIAL TOOL COMPANY, BERNZOMATIC, WORTHINGTON INDUSTRIES, INC. and WORTHINGTON CYLINDERS,

Defendants.

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

JUNE TERM, 2010

NO. 01701

TO THE PROTHONOTARY:

Please enter the appearance of Madeline M. Sherry and Stephen J. Finley on behalf of Defendant Bernzomatic, an unincorporated division of Irwin Industrial Tool Company (incorrectly named as Irwin Industrial Tool Company and Bernzomatic) in the above-captioned matter.

GIBBONS P.C.

Madeline S. Sherry

Stephen J. Finley Attorneys for Defendant

Bernzomatic, an unincorporated division of

Irwin Industrial Tool Company

DATED: July 12, 2010

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Madeline S. Sherry, Esquire, hereby certify that an Entry of Appearance has been electronically filed and served on the following counsel via U.S. First Class Mail, Postage Prepaid, on the 12th day of July, 2010.

Michael J. Hopkins, Esquire Law Offices of Robert A. Stutman, P.C. 501 Office Center Drive, suite 300 Ft. Washington, PA 19034

> Worthington Industries, Inc. 200 Old Wilson Bridge Road Columbus, OH 43085

Worthington Cylinders 200 Old Wilson Bridge Road Columbus, OH 43085

GIBBONS P.C.

Madeline M. Sherry Stephen J. Finley

Attorney for Defendant

Bernzomatic, an unincorporated division of

Irwin Industrial Tool Company

Civil Docket Report

Case Description

Case ID:

100601701

Case Caption: WESSNER VS IRWIN INDUSTRIAL TOOL COMPANY ETAL

Filing Date: Thursday, June 17th, 2010

Court:

JC - MAJOR JURY-COMPLEX

Location:

CH - City Hall

Jury:

J - JURY

Case Type: 2P - PRODUCT LIABILITY

Status:

CLWCM - WAITING TO LIST CASE MGMT CONF

Related Cases

No related cases were found.

Case Event Schedule

No case events were found.

Case Parties

Seq#	Assoc	Expn Date	Туре	ID	Name
1		1	ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF	A88080	HOPKINS ESQ, MICHAEL J
Address:	501 OFFIC DRIVE SUITE 300 FORT WAS 19034 (215)283-1	SHINGTON PA	Aliases:	none	
2	1	- 11 - 2	PLAINTIFF	@6634661	WESSNER, WADE
Address:	30 WESSN AUBURN F		Aliases:	none	
				-	
3	8		DEFENDANT	@6634662	IRWIN INDUSTRIAL TOOL COMPANY
Address:	8935 NORTEXECUTIVE HUNTERS' 28078	E DRIVE	Aliases:	none	-
	1			2	
1.11	8		DEFENDANT	00001000	BERNZOMATIC

Address:	1 BERNZON	MATIC DRIVE	Aliases:	none	
	MEDINA N	Y 14103			
5			DEFENDANT	@6634664	WORTHINGTON INDUSTRIES, INC.
Address:	200 OLD W BRIDGE RO COLUMBUS		Aliases:	none	
6			DEFENDANT	@6634665	WORTHINGTON CYLINDERS
Address:	200 OLD W BRIDGE RO COLUMBUS		Aliases:	none	
7			TEAM LEADER	J359	TERESHKO, ALLAN L
Address:	231 CITY H PHILADELF 19107 (215)686-73	PHIA PA	Aliases:	none	
8			ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT	A31549	SHERRY, MADELINE M
Address:		LOGAN SQ CH ST PHIA PA	Aliases:	none	
9	8		ATTORNEY FOR	A200890	FINLEY JR., STEPHEN J
			DEFENDANT		
Address:	1700 TWO SQUARE PHILADELE 19103 (215)446-62	PHIA PA	Aliases:	none	

Docket Entries

	Filing Date/Time	Docket Type	Filing Party	Disposition Amount	Approval/ Entry Date
--	---------------------	-------------	--------------	-----------------------	-------------------------

17-JUN-2010 11:44 AM	ACTIV - ACTIVE CASE	(2)	140	17-JUN-2010 11:53 AM
Docket Entry:	E-Filing Number: 1006029086			-
17-JUN-2010 11:44 AM	CIVIJ - COMMENCEMENT CIVIL ACTION JURY	HOPKINS ESQ, MICHAEL J		17-JUN-2010 11:53 AM
Docket Entry:	none.			
17-JUN-2010 11:44 AM	CMPLT - COMPLAINT FILED NOTICE GIVEN	HOPKINS ESQ, MICHAEL J		17-JUN-2010 11:53 AM
Docket Entry:	COMPLAINT WITH NOTICE TO SERVICE IN ACCORDANCE W			'S AFTER
17-JUN-2010 11:44 AM	SSCG4 - SHERIFF'S SURCHARGE 4 DEFTS	HOPKINS ESQ, MICHAEL J		17-JUN-2010 11:53 AM
Docket Entry:	none.			
17-JUN-2010 11:44 AM	JURYT - JURY TRIAL PERFECTED	HOPKINS ESQ, MICHAEL J		17-JUN-2010 11:53 AM
Docket Entry:	12 JURORS REQUESTED.			
17-JUN-2010 11:44 AM	CLWCM - WAITING TO LIST CASE MGMT CONF	HOPKINS ESQ, MICHAEL J		17-JUN-2010 11:53 AM
Docket Entry:	none.			
30-JUN-2010 10:01 AM	AFDVT - AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE FILED	HOPKINS ESQ, MICHAEL J		30-JUN-2010 10:01 AM
Docket Entry:	AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE OF FINDUSTRIES, INC., WORTHIN COMPANY AND BERNZOMATON BEHALF OF WADE WESS	GTON CYLINDERS, II IC BY CERTIFIED MA	RWIN INDUSTRI	AL TOOL
12-JUL-2010 12:26 PM	ENAPP - ENTRY OF APPEARANCE FILED	SHERRY, MADELINE M		12-JUL-2010 01:21 PM
	ENTRY OF APPEARANCE OF FILED. (FILED ON BEHALF OF	MADELINE M SHERF BERNZOMATIC AND	RY AND STEPHE D IRWIN INDUST	EN J FINLEY RIAL TOOL

Entry: COMPANY)

EXHIBIT "C"

SWEENEY & SHEEHAN

By: J. Michael Kunsch, Esquire

Identification No. 61922

1515 Market Street

19th Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19102

Phone: 215-563-9811

Facsimile: 215-557-0999

Attorneys for Defendants

Worthington Industries, Inc. and Worthington Cylinder Corporation

t/a Worthington Cylinders

WADE WESSNER,

Plaintiff

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

v.

JUNE TERM, 2010

NO. 01701

IRWIN INDUSTRIAL TOOL COMPANY,

BERNZOMATIC, WORTHINGTON

INDUSTRIES, INC. and WORTHINGTON

CYLINDERS.

Defendants.

CONSENT FOR REMOVAL

:

I, J. Michael Kunsch, Esquire, hereby state that I am counsel for Defendants,
Worthington Industries, Inc. and Worthington Cylinder Corporation t/a Worthington Cylinders
(hereinafter collectively referred to as "Worthington") and have full authority to act on
Worthington's behalf in connection with this matter. Worthington Industries, Inc. and
Worthington Cylinder Corporation t/a Worthington Cylinders are incorporated under the laws of
the State of Ohio and have their principal places of business in the State of Ohio. Worthington
hereby consents to the removal of this case from the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia
County, Pennsylvania to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

SWEENEY & SHEEHAN

RV.

J. Michael Kunsch

Attorney for Defendants

Worthington Industries, Inc. and

Worthington Cylinder Corporation t/a

Worthington Cylinders

DATED: July 14, 2010