| | • | |
|---|--|---|
| | Gary A. Dordick, Esq. S/B# 128008 Diana Diskin, Esq. S/B# 251366 | |
| | Matthew P. Blair, S/B# 278411 GARY A. DORDICK, A LAW CORPORAT | |
| | 509 South Beverly Drive Beverly Hills, California 90212-4514 | A 7760 Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles |
| | Tel: (310) 551-0949 • Fax: (855) 299-4444 In Association With: | 1 9 30/S SEP 02 2015 |
| | Larry Nagelberg, Esq. S/B# 92967 NAGELBERG BERNARD LAW GROUP | Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk |
| | Beverly Hills, California 90211 | Judi Lara Deputy |
| | Tel: (323) 653-3600 • Fax: (323) 653-880 | O MAPAAS |
| | Attorneys for Plaintiffs | |
| | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA | |
| | FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES | |
| | LINDSEY MARMONT, individually and as a Successor in Interest to ASTRID | CASE NO.: BC 5 9 8 2 5 9 |
| | ELIZABETH MARMONT; TRISTEN MARMONT, a minor, by and through his) | COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES: |
| | Guardian Ad Litem, LINDSEY MARMONT, and as Successor In | Product Liability - Strict Liability Product Liability - Breach of Warranty |
| | Interest to ASTRID ELIZABETH SMARMONT; MARCUS MARMONT, a | Product Liability - Negligence Negligence- Wrongful Death and |
| | minor, by and through his Guardian Ad Litem, LINDSEY MARMONT and as | Personal Injury 5. Negligent Infliction of Emotional |
| | Successor in Interest to ASTRID ELIZABETH MARMONT; COLE | Distress 6. Loss of Consortium |
| | MARMONT, a minor, by and through his Guardian Ad Litem LINDSEY | |
| | MARMONT and as Successor in Interest to ASTRID ELIZABETH | JURY TRIAL DEMANDED |
| | MARMONT, | |
| | Plaintiffs, | • |
| | v . | |
| | BERNZOMATIC, CORP., a corporation;) IRWIN INDUSTRIAL TOOL COMPANY,) | R P D R |
| | INC., a corporation; NEWELL RUBBERMAID, INC., a Corporation; | RECEIPT # DATE PAID PAYMENT: RECEIVED: CHE CAR CAR |
| | WORTHINGTON CYLINDERS INC., a) corporation; WORTHINGTON | 8 2 C |
| | WISCONSIN, LLC, a limited liability ocmpany; LOWE'S COMPANIES, INC., S | |
| | a corporation; and DOES 1-20, et al., | CCH520872074 09/02/15 03 435.00 : |
| | Defendants. | 2 |
| | | 02:12 PM \$435.0 \$0.0 \$0.0 |
| 1 | | 1. 50,000 AM |

Plaintiffs complain of Defendants and each of them as follows:

- At all times mentioned herein, Plaintiff Lindsey Marmont was a resident of the City of Fillmore, State of California. Lindsey Marmont is the husband and Successor in Interest to the decedent, Astrid Elizabeth Marmont.
- 2. At all times mentioned herein, Plaintiffs Tristen Marmont, Marcus Marmont and Cole Marmont are the minor children of decedent, Astrid Elizabeth Marmont, and Plaintiff Lindsey Marmont. Lindsey Marmont is at all times mentioned herein the guardian ad litem for Tristen Marmont, Marcus Marmont and Cole Marmont (hereafter collectively "Plaintiffs").
- 3. At all times mentioned herein, defendants BERNZOMATIC, CORP., a corporation; IRWIN INDUSTRIAL TOOL COMPANY, INC., a corporation; NEWELL RUBBERMAID, INC., a Corporation; WORTHINGTON CYLINDERS INC., a corporation; WORTHINGTON WISCONSIN, LLC were engaging in the design, manufacturing, distribution and sale of certain products including Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch.
- At all times mentioned herein, defendant LOWE'S COMPANIES, INC., a corporation was engaged in the sale of certain products, including the Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch.
- 5. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Defendants BERNZOMATIC, CORP.; IRWIN INDUSTRIAL TOOL COMPANY, INC.; NEWELL RUBBERMAID, INC.; WORTHINGTON CYLINDERS INC.; WORTHINGTON WISCONSIN, LLC; LOWE'S COMPANIES, INC.; and DOES 1-20 (hereafter collectively "Defendants") are and at all times mentioned herein were business entities and\or individuals qualified to and business in the State of California with principal operations and\or sales in the State of California, County of Los Angeles.

- 6. Defendant Does 1-20, are sued herein by such fictitious names for the reasons that their true names and capacities are presently unknown to Plaintiff, but who Plaintiff alleges were agents, servants, ane employees of their respective co-defendants, and in doing the things herein complained of were acting in the course and scope of such agency and employment, and were in some manner liable for the damages and injuries for which compensation is sought herein by Plaintiff. At such time as their true names and capacities are ascertained, Plaintiff will amend this complaint to set forth the same.
- 7. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants and each of them, were the agents, servants and employees of their respective co-defendants, and in doing such things herein complained of were acting within the course ans scope of such agency and employment.
- 8. In or about 2013, Lindsey Marmont purchased a new, in the box,
 Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch, which included a gas canister and torch
 head from defendant LOWE'S COMPANIES, INC.
- 9. On September 15, 2013, Plaintiffs and decedent were eating dinner in their backyard. At that time and place a product known as a Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch and gas canister, which was designed, manufactured, distributed and sold by Defendants was used to ignite charcoal in a barbecue grill and then placed on a table adjacent to Plaintiffs and decedent. At that time and place the Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch and gas canister catastrophically failed by exploding and sending shrapnel at Plaintiffs and decedent. The explosion resulted in the death of Astrid Elizabeth Marmont and severe injuries to Lindsey Marmont. Minor Plaintiffs Tristen Marmont, Marcus Marmont and Cole Marmont were also present and witnessed the death of their mother and

serious injury to their father, Lindsey Marmont.

- 10. As a direct and proximate result of the negligent, careless, wrongful and/or reckless conduct of the Defendants and each of them in the design, manufacture, distribution and sale of said product, specifically the Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch, Astrid Elizabeth Marmont sustained severe injuries resulting in her untimely death, Lindsey Marmont sustained severe physical injuries, and Lindsey Marmont, Tristen Marmont, Marcus Marmont and Cole Marmont suffered severe emotional distress.
- 11. All amounts being claimed are in excess of the jurisdictional limits of this court, which will be stated according to proof in accordance with Section 425.10 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Products Liability- Strict Liability, Against All Defendants)

- 12. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all allegations in paragraphs 1 through11 as though set forth in full herein.
- 13. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that at all time herein mentioned, Defendants and each of them, were the manufacturers, designers, labelers, developers, processors, producers, assemblers, builders, testers, inspectors, installers, warners, endorsers, recommenders, merchandisers, advertisers, exporters, wholesalers, retailers, sellers, modifiers, servicers, repairers, providers and otherwise distributors of the subject Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch.
- 14. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that the subject Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch was defective at the time of its manufacture, design, development, production, assembly, building,

testing, inspection, endorsement, exportation, importation, wholesaling, retailing, selling, modification, service, repair and entrustment and that the subject Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch along with its gas canister failed to meet the reasonable expectations of safety of the class of persons of which Plaintiffs were members, and that any benefits derived from the design of said Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch was substantially outweighed by the risk of harm inherent in said design in that, and not by way of limitation, despite the availability to Defendants of safer alternative designs, said defective Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch presented a substantial and unreasonable risk of death or injury to the users of said Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch and those in the vicinity of their use.

- 15. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that said Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch and gas canister were defective in their design, construction, assembly and manufacture and dangerous to life and limb of the users and those in the vicinity thereof, in that, among other things and not by way of limitation, said Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch and gas canister were so poorly designed and manufactured that they failed to maintain their integrity under normal operating conditions, including the subject incident. The aforementioned defects created a substantial danger which was unknown to Plaintiffs and the public in general, and would not be recognized by the ordinary user, and said defendant failed to give adequate warning of such danger.
- 16. Prior to the sale and distribution of said Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch and gas canister, Defendants and each of them, knew the subject Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch and gas canister was in a defective condition as previously described. Further, Defendants and each of them, through their officers, directors and managing agents, had prior notice and knowledge from several sources, including but not limited to test results

17.

17 18 19

16

21 22 23

20

24 25 26.

27

28≅

conditions.

and a multiplicity of tests run prior to the date of said accident, internal memoranda and correspondence, and industry publications, expert opinions, as well as notice of numerous serious injuries caused by the design of the subject Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch and gas canister were defective and presented a substantial and unreasonable risk of harm to the American consumers and general public, including Plaintiffs in that said defects unreasonably subjected users and those in the vicinity to injury or death as a result of failure in the event of foreseeable use and

Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that Defendants, and each of them, had unfettered ability, after years of extensive inhouse, government and independent testing and expert opinion to minimize the substantial risk of serious bodily harm or death caused by the subject Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch and gas canister by redesigning or warning of the potential for serious risk or harm, thereby minimizing or eliminating said potential. But, Defendants consciously chose not to take such steps, including, but not limited to, not providing proper design and manufacturing provisions, all of which allowed Defendants to save money and avoid loss of sales. These acts by Defendants prevented the public from becoming aware that the defects in the subject Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch and gas canister were, in reality unsafe, dangerous and defective, thereby causing the injuries and damages to Plaintiffs. In addition, Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that the aforementioned malfeasance, nonfeasance, defects, failure to warn, were done with the advance knowledge, authorization, approval and ratification of officers, directors and/or managing agents of the aforesaid Defendants.

As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of Defendants and each of 18.

them, Astrid Elizabeth Marmont was killed and Lindsey Marmont was compelled to, did employ and will continue to employ the services of hospitals, physicians, surgeons, nurses and the like to treat serious and substantial injuries and Tristen Marmont, Marcus Marmont and Cole Marmont suffered extreme emotional distress. Plaintiffs did incur and will continue to incur medical, professional and/or incidental expenses, and the like, all to their general and special damages, in an amount according to proof at the time of trial.

19. As a further legal cause of Defendants and each of their negligence, as herein alleged, Plaintiff Lindsey Marmont suffered and will continue to suffer a loss of earning capacity in the future, in an amount according to proof at the time of trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Products Liability- Breach of Warranty, Against All Defendants)

- 20. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all allegations in paragraphs 1 through19 as though set forth in full herein.
- 21. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants and each of them, designed, developed, processed, repaired, serviced, inspected, represented, tested, distributed, sold, consigned, delivered, maintained installed and operated for purpose of sale and distribution, said Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch and gas canister use by the general public.
- 22. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and based upon such information and belief allege, that at the time and place of said sale, delivery, distribution, repair, service, installation, consignment, maintenance, or operation of said product, Defendants and each of them, expressly and impliedly warranted to each buyer and user and to all persons reasonably expected

inspected, maintained, modified, changed, designed and manufactured and furnished the defective subject Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch and gas canister so as to cause, permit and/or allow the same to be in a dangerous, defective, unguarded and unsafe condition, and such acts and/or omissions were a substantial factor contributing to the injuries, damages and deah suffered by Plaintiffs, as herein alleged.

- 32. The negligence of Defendants, and each of them was a substantial factor in causing the injuries, death and damages herein alleged.
- 33. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of Defendants and each of them, Astrid Elizabeth Marmont was killed and Lindsey Marmont was compelled to, did employ and will continue to employ the services of hospitals, physicians, surgeons, nurses and the like to treat serious and substantial injuries and Tristen Marmont, Marcus Marmont and Cole Marmont suffered extreme emotional distress. Plaintiffs did incur and will continue to incur medical, professional and/or incidental expenses, and the like, all to their general and special damages, in an amount according to proof at the time of trial.
- 34. As a further legal cause of Defendants and each of their negligence, as herein alleged, Plaintiff Lindsey Marmont suffered and will continue to suffer a loss of earning capacity in the future, in an amount according to proof at the time of trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence: Personal Injury and Wrongful Death, Against All Defendants)

- 35. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all allegations in paragraphs 1 through 34 as though set forth in full herein.
- 36. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereupon alleges, that at said

<u> 10.</u>

time and place, Defendants and each of them negligently, recklessly and carelessly manufactured, designed, developed, processed, produced, assembled, built, tested, inspected, installed, warned, equipped, endorsed, exported, wholesaled, retailed, sold, modified, serviced, repaired, installed, provided and otherwise distributed the subject Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch and gas canister, which caused the death of Astrid Elizabeth Marmont and severe injuries to Lindsey Marmont and damages to Plaintiffs as herein alleged.

- 37. Defendants and each of them knew or should have known that it was likely that a person, such as Plaintiff would use the subject Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch and gas canister in a reasonably foreseeable manner, under normal conditions for igniting or heating material and that the subject Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch and gas canister would catastrophically fail, resulting in an explosion and causing death, injury and damage because of the subject defective Bernzomatic Gas dispenser/torch and gas canister.
- 38. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of Defendants and each of them, Astrid Elizabeth Marmont was killed and Lindsey Marmont suffered severe catastrophic physical injuries.
- 39. As a further proximate result of the conduct of Defendants and each of them, Astrid Elizabeth Marmont was killed and Lindsey Marmont was compelled to, did employ and will continue to employ the services of hospitals, physicians, surgeons, nurses and the like to treat serious and substantial injuries and Tristen Marmont, Marcus Marmont and Cole Marmont suffered extreme emotional distress. Plaintiffs did incur and will continue to incur medical, professional and/or incidental expenses, and the like, all to their general and special damages, in an amount according to proof at the time of trial.

- 40. As a proximate result of the above described tortuous conduct of Defendants and each of them, Plaintiff Lindsey Marmont has and will, suffer future medical care and treatment and loss of earnings capacity, as well as pain and suffering and loss of enjoyment of life, all to their general damage, in a sum to be proven at time of trial.
- 41. As a further legal cause of Defendants and each of their negligence, as herein alleged, Plaintiff Lindsey Marmont suffered and will continue to suffer a loss of earning capacity in the future, in an amount according to proof at the time of trial.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress/Dillon v. Legg - Against All Defendants)

- 42. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all allegations in paragraphs 1 through41 as though set forth in full herein.
- 43. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of Defendants, and each of them, Astrid Elizabeth Marmont was killed and Lindsey Marmont sustained severe physical injuries. Plaintiff Lindsey Marmont witnessed his wife's death as a result of defendants negligence. Further, Plaintiffs Tristen Marmont, a minor; Marcus Marmont, a minor; and Cole Marmont a minor witnessed their mother's death as a result of defendants negligence.
- 44. Further, Plaintiffs Lindsey Marmont; Tristen Marmont, a minor; Marcus Marmont, a minor; and Cole Marmont a minor, have suffered from severe emotional distress pursuant to a *Dillon vs. Legg* claim as they witnessed their wife/mother, Astrid Elizabeth Marmont, sustain severe physical injuries and then witnessed her untimely death due to the incident caused by Defendants negligence. Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to

12.

suffer psychological/psychiatric injuries, emotional injuries and mental anguish as a result of the collision and as a result of witnessing the injuries sustained and witnessing the death of their loved one, Astrid Elizabeth Marmont.

- As a further proximate result of the acts of Defendants, and each of them, and due to the negligence as alleged, Plaintiffs have incurred and will continue to incur substantial medical expenses and have incurred funeral and burial expenses and will continue to incur future medical expenses and related expenses, including but not limited to future hospitalizations, therapy, home care, medications, psychological therapy, family counseling and other cost related expenses, the total amount of such expenses is not known to plaintiffs at this time and plaintiffs will move to amend this complaint to state such amount when the same becomes known to them, or on proof thereof.
- 46. As a proximate result of the above described conduct of Defendants, and each of them, the Plaintiffs have and will, suffer the loss of Decedent's love, companionship, guidance, comfort, society, solace, moral support, financial support and physical assistance, all to their general damages, in a sum to be proven at time of trial.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Loss of Consortium by Plaintiff Lindsey Marmont, Against All Defendants)

- 47. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all allegations in paragraphs 1 through 46 as though set forth in full herein.
- 48. Plaintiff Lindsey Marmont as the lawful husband of Astrid Elizabeth

 Marmont has suffered a loss of consortium as a result of the wrongful acts

 of Defendants and each of them. Said loss of consortium includes but is

13.

not limited to the loss of love, companionship, comfort, care, assistance, 1 protection, affection, society, and moral support of his wife decedent 2 Astrid Elizabeth Marmont. 3 4 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants, and each of 5 them, as follows: 6 All general damages, including but not limited to future medical care and a. 7 treatment, future loss of earning and earning capacity, according to proof 8 at time of trial; 9 All special damages, including all medical care, loss of earnings, b. 10 treatment, consequential and incidental expenses incurred, and all 11 additional economic losses, according to proof at time of trial; 12 For prejudgment interest, as determined and accrued according to C. 13 applicable statutes: 14 d. Costs of bringing this suit; and 15 Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper. 16 17 DATED: August 31, 2015 GARY A. DORDICK, A LAW CORPORATION 18 19 Bv: 20 Matthew P. Blair, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiffs 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES